February 4, 2003

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED ORIGINAL

Chairman Michael Powell
Commissioner Kevin Martin
Commissioner Kathleen Abernathy
Commissioner Michael Copps
Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein
445 12th Street SW Portals 11Building
Washington, DC 20544

This letter is being submitted as an ex-parte communication Re: In the Matter of Review of Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Local Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket Yo. 01-338; 96-98; 98-147

Dear Commissioners

News reports indicate that the FCC is poised to adopt a rule that could severely hamper competitive access to the local telephone monopoly networks – access which is required by the 1996 Telecommunications Act. Such a move could do severe damage to the telecom sector now struggling to recover.

FROM: SBSC /AISPA

I clocom companies involved in hoth voice arid data services, and in related equipment industries, all benefit from the rising tide of competition. Maintaining competition, and a healthy number of market players, will produce increasing investments in networks and facilities, and in innovation and applications' industries. This is especially true for equipment suppliers not beholden to a single dominant monopoly.

In particular, we are especially concerned about a Bell proposal, apparently under discussion at the FCC, which could balkanize competitive access to the Bell monopolies' transmission lines, effectively ending such access on lines modified with new fiber construction

Such a policy would represent a troubling development for several reasons

First, such a policy could dramatically decrease the number of firms providing broadband and related senices, and create a corresponding decrease in demand for equipment suppliers. Many of these firms are small businesses, or firms that provide high-speed broadband services to small businesses

Second, because voice and data will soon be transmitted over these same lines, a balkanized policy could represent a Trojan horse that could ultimately hamper. if not eliminate, competition in voice services, with a similar corresponding negative impact on related industries and equipment suppliers.

Third, we find **no** place where the Telecommunications Act calls for, or endorses, such a dichotomous approach to policy In fact, this policy **was** proposed, arid rejected, by Congress in its last session. Therefore, it would be troubling to see the Commission adopt such a policy by executive Hat.

We strongly believe that any attempt to about competition in either the voice or the high speed data industries will create a drag on the economic recovery and will be felt particularly hard among small businesses who are finally seeing the benefits or true competition in local phone service We would urge you against going down such a road.

Sincerely.

Karen Kerrigan

Karen Kerrige

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 785-0238

Small Business Survival Committee 1920 I. Street, NW, Suite 200

J. ashdom

Sue Ashdown

American Internet Service Providers Assoc.

PO Box 18623

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 297-4400





cc: House Commerce Committee Members **House Small Business Committee Members Senate Commerce Committee Members** Senate Small Business and Entrepreneurship Committee Members